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## Ballot Information:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot reference:</th>
<th>JTC001-N-8017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballot type:</td>
<td>DTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening date:</td>
<td>2005-12-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing date:</td>
<td>2006-03-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note:</td>
<td>Please submit your vote by the due date indicated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Member responses:

### Votes cast (19)
- Australia: SA
- Canada: SCC
- China: SAC
- Finland: SFS
- France: AFNOR
- Germany: DIN
- Ireland: NSAI
- Italy: UNI
- Japan: JISC
- Korea, Republic of: KATS
- Netherlands: NEN
- New Zealand: SNZ
- Norway: SN
- Singapore: SPRING SG
- Slovenia: SIST
- South Africa: SABS
- Switzerland: SNV
- United Kingdom: BSI
- USA: ANSI

### Comments submitted (0)

### Votes not cast (9)
- Belgium: IBN
- Czech Republic: CNI
- Denmark: DS
- Iran, Islamic Republic of: ISIRI
- Kenya: KEBS
- Malaysia: DSM
- Saudi Arabia: SASO
- Spain: AENOR
- Sri Lanka: SLSI

## Questions:
| Q.1 | "Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"
| Q.2 | "If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type? (General, Technical or Editorial)"
| Q.3 | "If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

**Answers to Q.1: "Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval as presented</th>
<th>11 x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China: SAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany: DIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland: NSAI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy: UNI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan: JISC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, Republic of: KATS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands: NEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway: SN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia: SIST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom: BSI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA: ANSI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abstention</th>
<th>7 x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia: SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland: SFS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France: AFNOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand: SNZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore: SPRING SG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa: SABS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland: SNV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approval with comments as given below</th>
<th>1 x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada: SCC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Disapproval the draft for the reasons below | 0 x |

**Answers to Q.2: "If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type? (General, Technical or Editorial)"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ignore</th>
<th>18 x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia: SA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China: SAC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland: SFS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France: AFNOR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany: DIN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland: NSAI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy: UNI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan: JISC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea, Republic of: KATS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands: NEN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand: SNZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Answers to Q.3: “If you Disappove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?”

19 x Ignore
Australia: SA
Canada: SCC
China: SAC
Finland: SFS
France: AFNOR
Germany: DIN
Ireland: NSAI
Italy: UNI
Japan: JISC
Korea, Republic of: KATS
Netherlands: NEN
New Zealand: SNZ
Norway: SN
Singapore: SPRING SG
Slovenia: SIST
South Africa: SABS
Switzerland: SNV
United Kingdom: BSI
USA: ANSI

0 x No
0 x Yes

Comments from Voters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada (SCC)</td>
<td>Short comment</td>
<td>2006-02-27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DTR 24715 para 4.1.9 - Please remove the sentence "This may be a conflict and requires further investigation as to the interpretation of ISO/IEC 9945".